arXiv:math/0703177v1 [math.CO] 6 Mar 2007

Numerical radius and zero pattern of matrices

Vladimir Nikiforov

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis,

Memphis TN 38152, USA, email: *vnkifrv@memphis.edu*

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Let A be an $n \times n$ complex matrix and r be the maximum size of its principal submatrices with no off-diagonal zero entries. Suppose A has zero main diagonal and $\mathbf{x}$ is a unit n-vector. Then, letting $\|A\|$ be the Frobenius norm of A, we show that

$$|\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle|^2 \leq (1 - 1/2r - 1/2n) \|A\|^2 .$$

This inequality is tight within an additive term $O\left(n^{-2}\right)$.

If the matrix A is Hermitian, then

$$|\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle|^2 \leq (1 - 1/r) \|A\|^2 .$$

This inequality is sharp; moreover, it implies the Turán theorem for graphs.

AMS classification: *15A42, 05C50*

Keywords: *numerical radius; Turán's theorem; zero pattern;* $(0,1)$*-matrices; Motzkin-Straus's inequality*

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple graph, $\mu(G)$ be the spectral radius of its adjacency matrix, $\omega(G)$ be the maximum size of its complete subgraphs, and $e(G)$ be the number of its edges. In [7] it is shown that

$$\mu^2(G) \leq \left(2 - \frac{2}{\omega(G)}\right) e(G) . \tag{1}$$

The aim of this note is to extend this result to square matrices with zero main diagonal.

Let $\eta(A)$ be the numerical radius of a square matrix A, i.e.,

$$\eta(A) = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=1} |\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle| .$$

The value $\eta(A)$ has been extensively studied, see, e.g., [2]-[4], [6] and their references.

Given a complex matrix $A = \{a_{ij}\}$, write $\|A\|$ for its Frobenius's norm, i.e., $\|A\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2}$. We are interested in upper bounds on $\eta(A)$ in terms of $\|A\|$. It is easy to see that $\eta(A) \leq \|A\|$ with equality holding, e.g., if A is a constant matrix. In this note we give conditions for the zero pattern of a square matrix A that imply $\eta(A) \leq (1-c)\|A\|$ for some $c \in (0,1)$ independent of the order of A.

Given a square matrix A, let $\omega(A)$ be the maximum size of its principal submatrices with no off-diagonal zero entries.

Note that if A is the adjacency matrix of a graph G, then $\omega(A) = \omega(G)$, $\mu(G) = \eta(A)$, and $\|A\|^2 = 2e(G)$. Thus, the following theorem extends inequality (1).

Theorem 1 *For every Hermitian matrix A with zero main diagonal,*

$$\eta^2(A) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega(A)}\right)\|A\|^2. \tag{2}$$

Inequality (2) is sharp: for all $n \geq r \geq 2$, there exists an $n \times n$ symmetric $(0,1)$-matrix A with zero main diagonal and $\omega(A) = r$ such that equality holds in (2).

Note that inequality (2) implies a concise form of the fundamental theorem of Turán in extremal graph theory (see [1] for details). Indeed, if A is the adjacency matrix of a graph G with n vertices and m edges, then

$$(2m/n)^2 \leq \eta^2(A) \leq (2 - 2/\omega(A))\, m = 2(1 - 1/\omega(G))\, m,$$

and so,

$$m \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega(G)}\right)\frac{n^2}{2}. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, inequality (2) follows from a result of Motzkin and Straus [5], following in turn from (3) (see [8] for details). The implications

$$(2) \Longrightarrow (3) \Longrightarrow \text{MS} \Longrightarrow (2)$$

justify regarding inequality (2) as a matrix form of Turán's theorem.

We state without a proof a characterization of Hermitian matrices for which equality holds in (2).

Proposition 2 *Let $A = \{a_{ij}\}$ be an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix with zero main diagonal with $\omega(A) = r \geq 2$. Then the equality $\eta^2(A) = (1 - 1/r)\|A\|^2$ holds if and only if there exist a complex number $c \neq 0$, a partition $[n] = \cup_{i=0}^{r} N_i$, and a unit vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that:*

(i) $x_i = 0$ for all $i \in N_0$.
(ii) $\sum_{i \in N_i} |x_i|^2 = 1/r$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.
(iii) $a_{ij} = c x_i \overline{x}_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

It turns out that Theorem 1 has analogues for non-Hermitian matrices as well.

Theorem 3 *For every complex $n \times n$ matrix A with zero main diagonal,*

$$\eta^2(A) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\omega(A)} - \frac{1}{2n}\right) \|A\|^2. \tag{4}$$

Inequality (4) is tight: for all $n \geq r \geq 2$, there exists an $n \times n$ matrix A with zero main diagonal and $\omega(A) = r$ such that

$$\eta^2(A) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\omega(A)} - \frac{1}{2n} + O\left(n^{-2}\right)\right) \|A\|^2.$$

Let P_n be the set of vectors $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ with $x_1 \geq 0, \ldots, x_n \geq 0$, and $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1$. Recall a result of Motzkin and Straus [5]: if A is the adjacency matrix of a graph G of order n, and $\mathbf{x} \in P_n$, then

$$\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle \leq 1 - 1/\omega(G). \tag{5}$$

We shall need the following extension of this result.

Lemma 4 *For every square $(0,1)$-matrix A of size n with zero main diagonal and every $\mathbf{x} \in P_n$,*

$$\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2\omega(A)} - \frac{1}{2n}. \tag{6}$$

Inequality (6) is tight: for all $n \geq r \geq 2$, there exists a square $(0,1)$-matrix A of size n with zero main diagonal and $\omega(A) = r$ such that,

$$\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle = 1 - \frac{1}{2r} - \frac{1}{2n} + O\left(n^{-2}\right)$$

for some $\mathbf{x} \in P_n$.

2 Proofs

Proof of Lemma 4 Define the $n \times n$ matrix $B = \{b_{ij}\}$ setting $b_{ij} = a_{ij}a_{ji}$ for all $i, j \in [n]$; let $C = A - B$. Note that for every two distinct $i, j \in [n]$, we have

$$c_{ij} + c_{ji} = a_{ij} + a_{ij} - 2a_{ij}a_{ji} \leq 1.$$

We may and shall assume that $c_{ij} + c_{ji} = 1$ for all distinct $i, j \in [n]$ with $b_{ij} = 0$, since otherwise some off-diagonal zero entry of A can be changed to 1 so that $\omega(A)$ remains the same and the left-hand side of (6) does not decrease. Hence, for every $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$,

$$\langle B\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle + 2\langle C\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle = 1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2.$$

Since B is a symmetric $(0,1)$-matrix with zero main diagonal, the result of Motzkin and Straus implies that

$$\langle B\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle \leq 1 - 1/\omega(B)$$

for every $\mathbf{x} \in P_n$. Since $\omega(B) = \omega(A)$, we find that

$$\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle = \langle B\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle + \langle C\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}\langle B\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2\omega(A)} - \frac{1}{2n}.$$

completing the proof of (6).

Let G be a complete r-partite graph whose vertex classes differ in size by at most 1. Let $T = \{t_{ij}\}$ be the adjacency matrix of G; set $t_{ij} = 1$ for $i < j$ and write A for the resulting matrix. We have

$$\|A\|^2 = \binom{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\|T\|^2 = \binom{n}{2} + \binom{r}{2}\frac{n^2 - \nu^2}{r^2} + \binom{\nu}{2},$$

Letting $\mathbf{x}$ to be the n-vector $(1/n, \ldots, 1/n) \in P_n$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle &= \frac{1}{n^2}\|A\|^2 = \frac{1}{n^2}\left(\binom{n}{2} + \binom{r}{2}\frac{n^2 - \nu^2}{r^2} + \binom{\nu}{2}\right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2r} - \frac{1}{2n} + \left(\frac{\nu^2}{2r} - \frac{\nu}{2}\right)\frac{1}{n^2} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2r} - \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{r}{8n^2},\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 1 Select $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ with $\|\mathbf{y}\| = 1$ and $\eta(A) = |\langle A\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}\rangle|$. We have, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\eta^2(A) = \left|\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} y_i \overline{y}_j\right|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2 \sum_{a_{ij} \neq 0} |y_i|^2 |y_j|^2 = \|A\|^2 \sum_{a_{ij} \neq 0} |y_i|^2 |y_j|^2.$$

Define a graph G with $V(G) = [n]$, joining i and j if $a_{ij} \neq 0$. Obviously, $\omega(G) = \omega(A)$. Since $\|\mathbf{y}\| = 1$, the result of Motzkin and Straus implies that

$$\sum_{a_{ij} \neq 0} |y_i|^2 |y_j|^2 = \sum_{ij \in E(G)} |y_i|^2 |y_j|^2 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\omega(A)},$$

completing the proof of (2).

Let A be the adjacency matrix of the union of a complete graph on r vertices and $n - r$ isolated vertices. Since $\omega(A) = r$, $\eta(A) = r - 1$, and $\|A\|^2 = r(r-1)$, we see that

$$\eta^2(A) = \mu^2(A) = (1 - 1/\omega(A))\|A\|^2,$$

completing the proof of the theorem. □

Proof of Theorem 3 Select $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ with $\|\mathbf{y}\| = 1$ and $\eta(A) = |\langle A\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}\rangle|$. Lemma 4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^2(A) = \left|\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} y_i \overline{y}_j\right|^2 &\leq \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2 \sum_{a_{ij} \neq 0} |y_i|^2 |y_j|^2 = \|A\|^2 \sum_{a_{ij} \neq 0} |y_i|^2 |y_j|^2 \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\omega(A)} - \frac{1}{2n}\right)\|A\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

proving (4).

To complete the proof, select A as in the proof of Lemma 4. Hence, letting ν be the remainder of n modulo r, we have

$$\|A\|^2 = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} = \left(\binom{n}{2} + \binom{r}{2} \frac{n^2 - \nu^2}{r^2} + \binom{\nu}{2} \right).$$

Selecting $\mathbf{x}$ to be the n-vector $\left(n^{-1/2}, \ldots, n^{-1/2}\right)$, as in the proof of Lemma 4, we find that

$$\eta^2(A) \geq \frac{1}{n^2} \|A\|^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{2r} - \frac{1}{2n} + \left(\frac{\nu^2}{2r} - \frac{\nu}{2} \right) \frac{1}{n^2} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2r} - \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{r}{8n^2},$$

completing the proof of the theorem. □

Concluding remarks

- The example constructed in the proof of Lemma 4 shows that equality may hold in (4) and (6) whenever n is a multiple of r.

- It would be interesting to drop the requirement for zero main diagonal in Theorem 1 and 3. Note that inequalities (5) and (6) are no longer valid if ones are present on the main diagonal of A.

- Since the spectral radius of a square matrix does not exceed its numerical radius, Theorem 1 and 3 provide upper bounds on the spectral radius as well.

References

[1] B. Bollobás, *Modern Graph Theory,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 184, Springer-Verlag, New York (1998), xiv+394 pp.

[2] K. Fuad, A numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix, *Studia Math.* **158** (2003), 11–17.

[3] C.-K. Li, B.-S. Tam, P.Y. Wu, The numerical range of a nonnegative matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **350** (2002), 1–23.

[4] J. Merikoski, R. Kumar, Lower bounds for the numerical radius, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **410** (2005), 135–142.

[5] T. Motzkin, E. Straus, Maxima for graphs and a new proof of a theorem of Turán, *Canad. J. Math.* **17** (1965), 533-540.

[6] J. Maroulas, P.J. Psarrakos, M.J. Tsatsomeros, Perron–Frobenius type results on the numerical range, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **348** (2002), 49–62.

[7] V. Nikiforov, Some inequalities for the largest eigenvalue of a graph, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **11** (2002), 179–189.

[8] V. Nikiforov, An extension of Maclaurin's inequalities, submitted for publication.